

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.2927 of 2008
SHIBHU SAHNI
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR

3 9.5.2008 Heard Counsel for the petitioner and A.P.P.
representing the State.

The petitioner is an accused for offence under Section 395, 397 of the Indian Penal Code, Though, the petitioner is not named in the First Information Report, his name has come in the confessional statement of co-accused Ram Bali Sahni and on the basis of such confessional statement, certain ornaments, subject matter of the said dacoity, have also been recovered from the house of petitioner. From the order-sheet of the Trial Court, it also transpires that the petitioner has been made accused in a similar case for which sessions trial is also going on.

In that view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner Sibhu Sahni.

Keeping in view that the petitioner is in custody since 1.7.2007, this Court would direct the Trial Court to conclude the trial within a period of two years. In the event the trial is not concluded within the aforementioned period, the petitioner will have liberty to first move the Trial Court and the Trial Court will have to spell out reasons as to why the trial has not been completed within the aforementioned

period and thereafter, the petitioner may move this Court for bail.

With the aforesaid observation and direction, this application is dismissed.

Rsh

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J.)

